

# **ANNOTATED AUTOMATON ENCODING OF XML SCHEMA FOR HIGH PERFORMANCE SCHEMA VALIDATION**

## **CROSS REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATION**

This application is claiming under 35 USC 119(e) the benefit of provisional patent application serial no. 60/418,673, filed on October 15, 2002.

5

## **FIELD OF THE INVENTION**

The present invention relates to extensible mark-up language (XML) schemas, and more particularly to the validation of XML documents according to an XML schema.

## **BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION**

10

Extensible mark-up language (XML) schemas are well known in the art. They allow users to define complex structured XML data objects, which facilitates the wide spread use of the XML format in data storage and processing in databases. As each XML document is offered, they must be validated against its XML schema definition to ensure compliance prior to their use in data storage and processing.

15

Conventionally, two major approaches to XML schema validation are used. First is a general-purpose schema validation parser. In this approach, the parser receives the XML schema definition and the XML document as input, parses the XML document into a tree format, parses the XML schema definition into a schema tree format, and then traverses the XML document tree to check it against the XML schema tree. The same general-purpose schema validation parser is

used for many different XML schemas. Although this approach is flexible in that it can validate against many different XML schemas, this approach typically has poor performance.

A second approach is to generate an XML schema validation parser code based on a particular XML schema definition. The XML schema validation parser code is compiled into executable code, which is used to validate an XML document against the particular XML schema definition. Although this approach can be faster than the first approach, there can be a large number of validation parsers when there are a large number of XML schema definitions, incurring space overhead. It is also inflexible as each XML schema validation parser can only validate against a particular XML schema.

Accordingly, there exists a need for an improved method and system for XML schema validation. The improved method and system should provide high performance without incurring high space overhead. The present invention addresses such a need.

## **SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION**

A method and system for Extensible Markup Language (XML) schema validation, includes: loading an XML document into a runtime validation engine, where the runtime validation engine includes an XML schema validation parser; loading an annotated automaton encoding (AAE) for an XML schema definition into the XML schema validation parser; and validating the XML document against the XML schema definition by the XML schema validation parser utilizing the annotated automaton encoding. Each XML schema definition is compiled once into the AAE format, rather than being compiled each time an XML document is

validated, and thus significant time is saved. The code for the runtime validation engine is fixed and does not vary depending on the XML schema definition, rather than varying for each XML schema definition, and thus space overhead is minimized. Flexibility in the validation process is provided without compromising performance.

5

## **BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE FIGURES**

Figure 1 illustrates a preferred embodiment of an XML schema validation system in accordance with the present invention.

Figure 2 illustrates in more detail the XML schema compilation in accordance with the present invention.

Figure 3 is a flowchart illustrating a preferred embodiment of the XML schema compilation process in accordance with the present invention.

Figure 4 illustrates an example XML schema definition.

Figure 5 illustrates an element structure hierarchy for the example XML schema definition represented in an annotated tree in accordance with the present invention.

Figure 6 illustrates a structure of the example XML schema definition in a context-free grammar in accordance with the present invention.

Figure 7 illustrates an augmentation of the context-free grammar in Figure 6 with start tag tokens and end tag tokens in accordance with the present invention.

Figure 8 illustrates an annotation portion of the annotated automaton encoding for the example XML schema definition in accordance with the present invention.

Figure 9 illustrates the state transition graph for the automaton encoding table for the example XML schema definition in accordance with the present invention.

Figure 10 illustrates in more detail the runtime validation engine in accordance with the present invention.

5 Figure 11 is a flowchart illustrating a preferred embodiment of the runtime validation process performed by the runtime validation engine in accordance with the present invention.

Figure 12 is a flowchart illustrating the processing of a start tag name in accordance with the present invention.

10 Figure 13 is a flowchart illustrating the processing of an attribute name in accordance with the present invention.

Figure 14 is a flowchart illustrating the processing of an end tag name in accordance with the present invention.

Figures 15A-15E illustrate contents of the stack during the runtime validation process performed by the runtime validation engine in accordance with the present invention.

15 Figure 16 illustrates an example XML document to be validated.

Figure 17 illustrates an example of derived types for an XML schema definition.

## DETAILED DESCRIPTION

The present invention provides an improved method and system for XML schema validation. The following description is presented to enable one of ordinary skill in the art to make and use the invention and is provided in the context of a patent application and its

requirements. Various modifications to the preferred embodiment will be readily apparent to those skilled in the art and the generic principles herein may be applied to other embodiments. Thus, the present invention is not intended to be limited to the embodiment shown but is to be accorded the widest scope consistent with the principles and features described herein.

5

## INTRODUCTION

The improved method and system in accordance with the present invention compiles XML schema definitions into an Annotated Automaton Encoding (AAE) format, which are stored in a disk or database. Each XML schema definition is compiled once into the AAE format. When an XML document is validated, the XML document and the appropriate XML schema definition in AAE format are loaded into a runtime validation engine. The runtime validation engine comprises a generic XML parser and a runtime schema validation parser. The code for the runtime validation engine is fixed and does not vary depending on the XML schema definition. The generic XML parser performs a low level validation while the runtime schema validation parser performs a high level validation of the XML document against the XML schema definition in AAE format. The output of the runtime validation engine is a validation pass or fail.

15 To more particularly describe the features of the present invention, please refer to Figures 1 through 17 in conjunction with the discussion below.

Figure 1 illustrates a preferred embodiment of an XML schema validation system in accordance with the present invention. The system comprises two sets of logic, an XML schema compilation 102 and a runtime validation engine 104.

The XML schema compilation 102 takes XML schema definitions 106 as input and provides each XML schema in an Annotated Automaton Encoding (AAE) format 108 as output. The XML schema definitions in AAE format 108 are stored on a disk or in a database 110 for easy retrieval at a later time. The AAE format comprises a format of a parsing table typically obtained from a Look Ahead Left to Right (LALR(1)) parser generator but with the addition of annotations. Annotations are the attributes for element nodes and data type constraints for element nodes and attributes of the XML schema tree created for a particular XML schema definition. The XML schema compilation 102 and the AAE format are described further below with reference to Figures 2-9.

The runtime validation engine 104 takes an XML document 112 and its corresponding XML schema definition 108 in AAE format as input and provides a validation pass or fail as output. The runtime validation engine 104 is described further below with reference to Figures 10-14.

### XML SCHEMA COMPILATION

Figure 2 illustrates in more detail the XML schema compilation 102 in accordance with the present invention. The XML schema compilation 102 comprises an XML schema compiler front-end 202 and an XML schema compiler back-end 204. Figure 3 is a flowchart illustrating a

preferred embodiment of the XML schema compilation process in accordance with the present invention.

Referring to both Figures 2 and 3, the XML schema compiler front-end 202 receives an XML schema definition 106 as input, via step 302. The XML schema compiler front-end 202 then generates an element structure hierarchy and represents it in an annotated tree, via step 304. 5 The nodes of the tree are the element nodes and the edges are the structural relationships among the nodes. The annotations are the attributes for the element nodes and data type constraints for the element nodes and attributes. This annotated tree is described further below.

Next, the XML schema compiler back-end 204 encodes the annotated tree and generates 10 an annotated automaton encoding, via step 306. The annotated automaton encoding is then serialized and stored on a disk or in a database, via step 308. The annotated automaton encoding is thus the XML schema definition in AAE format 108.

Figures 4-9 illustrate an example of the method implemented by the XML schema compilation 102 in accordance with the present invention. Figure 4 illustrates an example XML 15 schema definition 400. The XML schema definition 400 comprises a default XML namespace 402, a namespace declaration 404, and a registered target namespace 405. For security reasons, the XML schema may be registered and is accessed at an alternative location referenced by the registered XML schema reference 404. The XML schema definition 400 also comprises annotations 406 for documentation purposes. In this example, the annotation 406 is defined as 20 including an element 408 named “documentation” with an attribute 410 of “xml:lang=’en’”.

The XML schema definition 400 further comprises global element declarations, such as *personnel* 412 and *notes* 426. The *personnel* global element 412 is declared to be a complex type and to have a sequence of sub-elements named *employee* 414. The *employee* sub-element 414 is declared to be a complex type and to have semantic constraints 416. The *employee* sub-element 5 414 itself is declared to have sub-elements 418, each with its own syntactical constraints 420. In this example, these sub-elements are *lastname*, *firstname*, and *notes*, with each being of a simple type (string). The *employee* sub-element 414 is also declared to have several attributes 422, each defined to be of a simple type 424. In this example, the attributes 422 include *serno* of type *integer*, *userid* of type *USERID\_TYPE*, and *department* of type *string*. The *integer* and *string* 10 types are predefined. The *USERID\_TYPE* type is not. Its definition is set forth in the XML schema definition at 428.

The XML schema compiler front-end 202 receives this XML schema definition 400 as input, via step 302. It then generates an element structure hierarchy for the XML schema definition 400 and represents it in an annotated tree 500, illustrated in Figure 5. The solid lines 15 connect the element nodes of the tree 500. The dashed lines connect element nodes to attribute annotations.

For example, solid lines connect the *personnel* node 502 to the *employee* node 506, and the *employee* node 506 to the *lastname* 508, *firstname* 510, and *notes* 512 nodes. The tree 500 illustrates that *notes* and *personnel* are global elements, and thus they are at the first level of 20 nodes. *Employee* is a sub-element of *personnel*, and thus its node is connected by a solid line to the *personnel* node at a second level. *Lastname*, *firstname*, and *notes* are sub-elements of

*employee*, and thus their nodes 508-512 are connected by solid lines to the *employee* node 506 at a third level. The “string” dashed ovals 514-518 proximate to the *lastname* 508, *firstname* 510, and *notes* 512 nodes are data type annotation nodes that represent the data types for their respective corresponding nodes.

5 The tree 500 further illustrates that the *employee* node 506 is defined to have attributes, represented by the *serno* square 520, *userid* square 522, and *department* square 524. The *USERID\_TYPE* 526, *Integer* 528, and *String* 530 dashed ovals proximate to the squares are their corresponding type annotation nodes.

10 Once the annotated tree 500 is generated for the XML schema definition 400, the XML schema compiler back-end 204 encodes the annotated tree 500 and generates an annotated automaton encoding, via step 306. In encoding the annotated tree 500, the XML schema compiler back-end 204 first represents the element constraints of the annotated tree 500, i.e. the tree structure specified by the solid lines, in a context-free grammar (CFG), as illustrated in Figure 6. Then, it augments the CFG by appending a start tag token as a prefix for each element 15 and by appending an end tag token as a suffix for each element, as illustrated in Figure 7. For example, for the *personnel* element, a start tag token *PS* and an end tag token *PE* are appended. For the *employee* element, a start tag token *ES* and an end tag token *EE* are appended. Start and 20 end tag tokens are similarly appended to the elements *lastname*, *firstname*, and *notes*. An LALR(1) parser generator algorithm is then used to generate a parsing table or state transition table. Another algorithm is then used to construct the annotation records from the annotations of the tree illustrated in Figure 5. The annotation portion of a resulting state transition graph for the

example XML schema definition 400 is illustrated in Figure 8. By generating such an annotated automaton encoding, validation of an XML data object involves checking if the sequence of the start or end tags conform to the grammar illustrated in Figure 7.

Referring to Figure 8, the example XML schema definition 400 includes an automaton encoding table 801 and a global element map comprising the global elements *notes* 802 and *personnel* 803. Figure 9 illustrates the state transition graph for the automaton encoding table 801 for the example XML schema definition 400. For the sake of simplicity, Figure 9 illustrates only the SHIFT edges for the definition 400 and omits the REDUCE edges.

Returning to Figure 8, the global element map comprises the *notes* element name 802 and the *personnel* element name 803. They in turn comprise pointers to the annotation record 843 and 809 for the *notes* 802 and *personnel* 803 elements, respectively. The *personnel* element annotation record 809 comprises the scanner ID for its element content and argument 804 (<anyTypeID, null>), its start tag token 805 (PS), its end tag token 806 (PE), an attribute list 807, and a candidate sub-element map 808. The attribute list 807 for the *personnel* element annotation record 809 is null. Its candidate sub-element map 808 comprises pointers to its local element map. The local element map 810 comprises the *employee* element name, which in turn comprises a pointer to the *employee* element annotation record 816.

The *employee* element annotation record 816 comprises the scanner ID for its element content and argument 811 (<anyTypeID, null>), its start tag token 812 (ES), its end tag token 813 (EE), an attribute list 814, and a candidate sub-element map 815. According to the XML schema definition 400, the attribute list 814 comprises three attributes, *serno*, *userid*, and

*department*. Thus, the attribute list 814 comprises pointers to the *serno* attribute name 817 and the scanner ID for its value and argument 818 (<*integerTypeID*, *null*>), the *userid* attribute name 819 and the scanner ID for its value and argument 820 (<*anySimpleTypeID*, [a-zA-Z][1][1-9a-zA-Z]>), and the *department* attribute name 821 and the scanner ID for its value and argument 822 (<*stringTypeID*, *null*>).

5

The candidate sub-element map 815 for the *employee* element annotation record 816 comprises pointers to its local element map, which comprises pointers to the annotation records of the sub-elements of the *employee* element. According to the XML schema definition 400, the sub-elements of the *employee* element comprises *lastname*, *firstname*, and *notes* elements. Each 10 in turn comprises pointers to the *lastname* element annotation record 829, the *firstname* element annotation record 837, and the *notes* element annotation record 843, respectively.

10

15

The *lastname* element annotation record 829 comprises the scanner ID for its content and argument 824 (<*stringTypeID*, *null*>), its start tag token 825 (LS), its end tag token 826 (LE), an attribute list 827, and a candidate sub-element map 828. According to the XML schema definition 400, the *lastname* element has no attributes and no sub-elements, thus its attribute list 827 and its candidate sub-element map 828 are null.

15

20

The *firstname* element annotation record 837 comprises the scanner ID for its content and arguments 832 (<*stringTypeID*, *null*>), its start tag token 833 (FS), its end tag token 834 (FE), an attribute list 835, and a candidate sub-element map 836. According to the XML schema definition 400, the *firstname* element has no attributes and no sub-elements, thus its attribute list 835 and its candidate sub-element map 836 are null.

The *notes* element annotation record 843 comprises the scanner ID for its content and argument 838 (*<stringTypeID, null>*), its start tag token 839 (NS), its end tag token 840 (NE), an attribute list 841, and a candidate sub-element map 842. According to the XML schema definition 400, the *notes* element has no attributes and no sub-elements, thus its attribute list 841 and candidate sub-element map 842 are null.

5 The annotated automaton encoding 800 is used to validate XML documents against the XML schema definition 400, as described further below.

#### RUNTIME VALIDATION ENGINE

10 Figure 10 illustrates in more detail the runtime validation engine 104 in accordance with the present invention. The runtime validation engine 104 comprises an XML scanner pool 1002, a generic XML parser 1004, and a runtime schema validation parser 1006. The XML scanner pool 1002 comprises a generic scanner 1017 and other scanners 1018-1020 for specific simple data types. The generic scanner 1017 is capable of scanning all lexical tokens, however, its performance is limited. Scanners 1018-1020 for specific data types provide greater performance.

15 For example, a scanner 1019 for the integer type and a scanner 1020 for the string type may be part of the XML scanner pool 1002. In the preferred embodiment, an AnySimpleType scanner 1018 is used as a generic scanner for any simple data types. Each scanner 1017-1020 have a unique scanner ID (0, 1, ... n, n+1). The scanners are called by the generic XML parser 1004 to tokenize an input XML document 112. Which scanner to call is determined by the runtime schema validation parser 1006 according to the XML schema in AAE format 108.

The generic XML parser 1004 accepts the tokens from the scanners and checks the basic XML grammar. If the token is an element token, i.e., a start tag name or an end tag name, or if the token is an attribute token, they are forwarded to the runtime schema validation parser 1006 as a lexeme. The runtime schema validation parser 1006 validates the element and attribute lexemes against the XML schema definition in AAE format. The generic XML parser 1004 thus performs a low level validation, while the runtime schema validation parser 1006 performs a high level validation according to the schema structure in CFG (see Figure 6).

The runtime schema validation parser 1006 comprises an XML schema loading module 1010, which loads the appropriate XML schema in AAE format 108 from the disk or database 110. The runtime schema validation parser 1006 also comprises an XML schema validation module 1012 that performs the actual high level validation process. This module 1012 comprises an element validation module 1014 for validating element lexemes, and an attribute validation module 1016 for validating attribute lexemes.

Figures 11-14 are flowcharts illustrating a preferred embodiment of the runtime validation process performed by the runtime validation engine in accordance with the present invention. Referring to Figure 11, first, the XML schema loading module 1010 loads the XML schema in AAE format 108, and sets the generic scanner 1017 as the current scanner, via step 1102. Also, an Entity Manager 1008 will obtain the XML external entities referenced by the XML document instance to verify. The XML document 112 is loaded, via step 1104. The current scanner tokenizes the XML document 112. Then, the generic XML parser 1004 calls the current scanner to get a token, via step 1106. The generic XML parser 1004 checks if a token is

returned successfully, via step 1108. If the token scan is not successful, then the validation return as “invalid”, via step 1110, and the process ends. If the token scan is successful, and the generic XML parser 1004 determines that the token is an element or attribute token, via step 1112, then the token is input into the XML schema validation module 1012 as a lexeme, via step 1114. The 5 lexeme can be one of three types: a start tag name, an attribute name, or an end tag name. Each type of lexeme is processed in a different manner, via steps 1118, 1120, or 1122. If the token is not an element or attribute token, then it is determined if the token is the end of file (EOF) token, i.e., the end of the XML document 112, via step 1124. If not, then the process returns to step 1106 and repeats for the next token. If so, then it is determined if the generic XML parser 1004 10 and the element validation module 1014 are both in the “accept” mode, via step 1125, i.e., if the parsing and validation has completed. If so, then the validation of the XML document 112 is successful, via step 1126, returning a “valid”. If not, then the validation fails, via step 1127, returning an “invalid”.

Figure 12 is a flowchart illustrating the processing of a start tag name in accordance with 15 the present invention. First, based on the previous annotation record and the start tag name, the XML schema validation module 1012 finds the current annotation record, via step 1202. The current annotation record is the annotation record pointed by the map entry corresponding to the current tag name in the previous annotation record’s candidate sub-element map. This current annotation record is pushed onto the stack, via step 1206. The XML schema validation module 20 1012 then gets the token corresponding to the start tag name from the current annotation record and inputs this token into the element validation module 1014, via step 1208. The element

validation module 1014 performs LR parsing according to the parsing table portion of AAE, such as 801. If the validation is not successful, via step 1210, then the validation fails, via step 1212, and an “invalid” is returned. If the validation is successful, via step 1210, then if the attribute list for the current annotation record is empty and the element content is a simple type, via step 1214, then the current scanner ID is set to the scanner for the simple type, via step 1216. The process then continues with step 1106, illustrated in Figure 11.

Figure 13 is a flowchart illustrating the processing of an attribute name in accordance with the present invention. First, the XML schema validation module 1012 gets the current annotation record, and passes the current annotation record and the attribute name to the attribute validation module 1016, via step 1301. The attribute validation module 1016 searches the attribute list in the current annotation record for the attribute name, via step 1302. If the attribute name is not found in the current annotation record, via step 1304, then the structure of the XML document 112 does not conform to the XML schema definition 108, the validation of the XML document 112 fails, via step 1310, and an “invalid” is returned. If the attribute name is found, via step 1304, then the XML schema validation module 1012 sets the current scanner ID to the simple type for the attribute value, via step 1312. The process then continues with step 1124, illustrated in Figure 11.

Figure 14 is a flowchart illustrating the processing of an end tag name in accordance with the present invention. First, the current annotation record is removed from the stack, via step 1402. According to the AAE format, the end tag of an annotation record is processed after the start tag and any attributes. Since the current annotation record is set according to steps 1202-

1204 above, the current annotation record for the eng tag name should be the annotation record  
for the start tag name. Since the end tag name is the last token of an annotation record to be  
processed, its annotation record is removed from the stack with its processing. Next, the XML  
schema validation module 1012 gets the token for the end tag name from the current annotation  
record and inputs it into the element validation module 1014, via step 1404. If the validation is  
5 not successful, via step 1406, then the validation fails, via step 1410, and an “invalid” is returned.  
If the validation is successful, via step 1406, then the XML schema validation module 1012  
determines if all of the attributes for the current annotation record have been validated, or if the  
attribute list is empty, via step 1408. If not, then the structure of the XML document 112 does  
10 not conform to the XML schema definition 108, the validation fails, via step 1410, and an  
“invalid” is returned. If so, then the process returns to Figure 11 at step 1106 and repeats for the  
next token.

15 To check for uniqueness constraints 430 in the preferred embodiment, such as for the  
*employee* element of the XML schema definition 400, a hash table or array may be used to track  
whether an employee serno has been encountered before.

20 To check for referential integrity in the preferred embodiment, a list of definitions can be  
collected as the XML document 112 is scanned. References can then be checked against the  
definition list. In the case where the references appear before the definition, the entire XML  
document 112 will have to be scanned and references are remembered before checking for  
referential integrity.

## EXAMPLE VALIDATION

For example, assume that the XML schema definition for the XML document 112 is the example XML schema definition 400 (Fig. 4). Figure 16 illustrates an example XML document 1600 to be validated. The XML schema loading module 1012 loads the XML schema in AAE 5 format and sets the generic scanner 1017 as the current scanner, via step 1102. The XML document 1600 is also loaded, via step 1104. The generic XML parser 1004 calls the current scanner to get the first token, via step 1106, which is the *personnel* start tag name 1602. The token scan is successful, via step 1108, and the generic XML parser 1004 determines that it is an element token, via step 1112, and inputs it into the XML schema validation module 1012 as a 10 lexeme, via step 1114. The XML schema validation module 1012 then determines the lexeme type, via step 1116. Since the lexeme is a start tag name, process step 1118 is performed as illustrated in Figure 12.

### Personnel Start Tag Name

According to the graph 800 for the XML schema definition 400, the previous annotation 15 record for the *personnel* start tag name 803 points to the personnel element annotation record 809. Referring to Figure 12, the personnel element annotation record 809 is thus the current annotation record, via step 1202, and is pushed onto the stack, via step 1206. The contents of the stack becomes as illustrated in Figure 15A. The XML schema validation module 1012 then gets 20 the *personnel* start tag token (PS) 805 from the personnel element annotation record 809 and inputs the token 805 into the element validation module 1014, via step 1208. The element

validation module 1014 is a parser using a parsing table for state transitions, illustrated in Figure 9. The validation is successful, via step 1210. The attribute list 807 for the personnel element annotation record 809 is empty (null), but the content is of a complex type (anyTypeID), via step 1214. Thus, the validation process returns to Figure 11 at step 1106 and repeats for the next 5 token.

#### Employee Start Tag Name

The generic XML parser 1004 calls the current scanner to get the next token, the *employee* start tag name 1604, via step 1106. The token scan is successful, via step 1108, and the 10 generic XML parser 1004 determines that it is an element token, via step 1112, and inputs it into the XML schema validation module 1012 as a lexeme, via step 1114. The XML schema validation module 1012 then determines the lexeme type, via step 1116. Since the lexeme is a start tag name, process step 1118 is performed as illustrated in Figure 12.

Referring to Figure 12, the candidate sub-element map 808 of the personnel element 15 annotation record 809, i.e., the previous annotation record, points to the employee start tag name 810, which in turn points to the employee element annotation record 816, i.e., the current annotation record, via step 1202, and is pushed onto the stack, via step 1206. The contents of the stack now becomes as illustrated in Figure 15B. The XML schema validation module 1012 gets 20 the employee start tag token (ES) 812 from the employee element annotation record 816 and inputs the token 812 into the element validation module 1014, via step 1208. The validation is successful, via step 1210. Since the attribute list 814 for the employee element annotation record

816 is not empty, via step 1214, the process returns to Figure 11 at step 1106 and repeats for the next token.

#### Serno Attribute Name

5           The generic XML parser calls the current scanner to get the next token, the token for the attribute name *serno* 1606, via step 1106. The token scan is successful, via step 1108, and the generic XML parser 1004 determines that it is an attribute token, via step 1112, and inputs it into the XML schema validation module 1012 as a lexeme, via step 1114. The XML schema validation module 1012 then determines the lexeme type, via step 1116. Since the lexeme is an 10 attribute name, process step 1120 is performed, as illustrated in Figure 13.

10           Referring to Figure 13, the XML schema validation module 1012 passes the current annotation record and the *serno* attribute name to the attribute validation module 1016, via step 1301. The current annotation record is the employee element annotation record 816. The attribute validation module 1016 searches the attribute list 814 for the *serno* attribute name 817, 15 via step 1302. The *serno* attribute name 817 is found there, via step 1304. The validation is successful, and the XML schema validation module 1012 sets the current scanner ID to the integerTypeID, via step 1312. The process then returns to Figure 11 at step 1106 and repeats for the next token. The IntegerType scanner 1019 scans the integer “12345” as the attribute value for the *serno* attribute name. The current scanner is reset to the generic scanner.

20

#### Userid Attribute Name

The generic XML parser calls the current scanner to get the next token, the token for the attribute name *userid* 1608, via step 1106. The token scan is successful, via step 1108, and the generic XML parser 1004 determines that it is an attribute token, via step 1112, and inputs it into the XML schema validation module 1012 as a lexeme, via step 1114. The XML schema validation module 1012 then determines the lexeme type, via step 1116. Since the lexeme is an attribute name, process step 1120 is performed, as illustrated in Figure 13.

5

Referring to Figure 13, the XML schema validation module 1012 passes the current annotation record and the *userid* attribute name 819 to the attribute validation module 106, via step 1301. The current annotation record is the employee element annotation record 816. The attribute validation module 1016 searches the attribute list 814 for the *userid* attribute name 819, via step 1302. The *userid* attribute name 819 is found there, via step 1304. The validation is successful, and the XML schema validation module 1012 sets the current scanner ID to the anySimpleTypeID, via step 1312. The process then returns to Figure 11 at step 1106 and repeats for the next token. The AnySimpleType scanner 1018 scans “A1bc78D” as the attribute value for the *userid* attribute name. The current scanner is reset to the generic scanner.

10

15

#### Department Attribute Name

20

The generic XML parser calls the current scanner to get the next token, the token for the attribute name *department* 1610, via step 1106. The token scan is successful, via step 1108, and the generic XML parser 1004 determines that it is an attribute token, via step 1112, and inputs it into the XML schema validation module 1012 as a lexeme, via step 1114. The XML schema

validation module 1012 then determines the lexeme type, via step 1116. Since the lexeme is an attribute name, process step 1120 is performed, as illustrated in Figure 13.

Referring to Figure 13, the XML schema validation module 1012 passes the current annotation record and the *department* attribute name 821 to the attribute validation module 106, via step 1301. The current annotation record is the employee element annotation record 816. The attribute validation module 1016 searches the attribute list 814 for the *department* attribute name 821, via step 1302. The *department* attribute name 821 is found there, via step 1304. The validation is successful, via step 1308, and the XML schema validation module 1012 sets the current scanner ID to the stringTypeID, via step 1312. The process then returns to Figure 11 at step 1106 and repeats for the next token. The StringType scanner 1020 scans “sales” as the attribute value for the *department* attribute name. The current scanner is reset to the generic scanner.

#### Lastname Start Tag Name

The generic XML parser calls the current scanner to get the next token, the token for the *lastname* start tag name 1612, via step 1106. The token scan is successful, via step 1108, and the generic XML parser 1004 determines that it is an element token, via step 1112, and inputs it into the XML schema validation module 1012 as a lexeme, via step 1114. The XML schema validation module 1012 then determines the lexeme type, via step 1116. Since the lexeme is a start tag name, process step 1118 is performed, as illustrated in Figure 12.

5

10

15

Referring to Figure 12, the candidate sub-element map 815 of the employee element annotation record 816, i.e., the previous annotation record, points to the *lastname* start tag name 823, which in turn points to the lastname element annotation record 829, i.e., the current annotation record, via step 1202, and is pushed onto the stack, via step 1206. The contents of the stack now becomes as illustrated in Figure 15C. The XML schema validation module 1012 gets the *lastname* start tag token (LS) 825 from the lastname element annotation record 829 and inputs the token 825 into the element validation module 1014, via step 1208. The validation is successful, via step 1210. Since the attribute list 814 for the lastname element annotation record 829 is empty and the content of the lastname element is a simple type (stringTypeID), via step 1214, the current scanner ID is changed to stringTypeID, via step 1216. The process then returns to Figure 11 at step 1106 and repeats for the next token. The StringType scanner 1020 is then called to scan “White” as the content for the *lastname* element. The current scanner is reset to the generic scanner.

#### Lastname End Tag Name

20

The generic XML parser calls the current scanner to get the next token, the token for the *lastname* end tag name 1614, via step 1106. The token scan is successful, via step 1108, and the generic XML parser 1004 determines that it is an element token, via step 1112, and inputs it into the XML schema validation module 1012 as a lexeme, via step 1114. The XML schema validation module 1012 then determines the lexeme type, via step 1116. Since the lexeme is an end tag name, process step 1122 is performed, as illustrated in Figure 14.

Referring to Figure 14, the lastname element annotation record 829, i.e., the current annotation record, is removed from the stack, via step 1402. The contents of the stack now becomes as illustrated in Figure 15B. The XML schema validation module 1012 gets the *lastname* end tag token (*LE*) 826 from the lastname element annotation record 829 and inputs the token 826 into the element validation module 1014, via step 1404. The validation is successful, via step 1406. Since the attribute list 827 for the lastname element annotation record 829 is empty, via step 1408, the process returns to Figure 11 at step 1106 and repeats for the next token.

#### Firstname Start Tag Name

The generic XML parser calls the current scanner to get the next token, the token for the *firstname* start tag name 1616, via step 1106. The token scan is successful, via step 1108, and the generic XML parser 1004 determines that it is an element token, via step 1112, and inputs it into the XML schema validation module 1012 as a lexeme, via step 1114. The XML schema validation module 1012 then determines the lexeme type, via step 1116. Since the lexeme is a start tag name, process step 1118 is performed, as illustrated in Figure 12.

Referring to Figure 12, the candidate sub-element map 815 of the employee element annotation record 816, i.e., the previous annotation record, points to the firstname start tag name 830, which in turn points to the firstname element annotation record 837, i.e., the current annotation record, via step 1202, and is pushed onto the stack, via step 1206. The contents of the stack now become as illustrated in Figure 15D. The XML schema validation module 1012 gets the *firstname* start tag token (*FS*) 833 from the firstname element annotation record 837 and

inputs the token 833 into the element validation module 1014, via step 1208. The validation is successful, via step 1210. Since the attribute list 835 for the *firstname* element annotation record 837 is empty and the content of the *firstname* element is a simple type (stringTypeID), via step 1214, the current scanner ID is changed to stringType ID, via step 1216. The process then returns to Figure 11 at step 1106 and repeats for the next token. The StringType scanner 1020 is then called to scan “John” as the content for the *firstname* element. The current scanner is reset to the generic scanner.

#### Firstname End Tag Name

The generic XML parser calls the current scanner to get the next token, the token for the *firstname* end tag name 1618, via step 1106. The token scan is successful, via step 1108, and the generic XML parser 1004 determines that it is an element token, via step 1112, and inputs it into the XML schema validation module 1012 as a lexeme, via step 1114. The XML schema validation module 1012 then determines the lexeme type, via step 1116. Since the lexeme is an end tag name, process step 1122 is performed, as illustrated in Figure 14.

Referring to Figure 14, the *firstname* element annotation record 837, i.e., the current annotation record, is removed from the stack, via step 1402. The contents of the stack now becomes as illustrated in Figure 15B. The XML schema validation module 1012 gets the *firstname* end tag token (SE) 834 from the *firstname* element annotation record 837 and inputs the token 834 into the element validation module 1014, via step 1404. The validation is successful, via step 1406. Since the attribute list 835 for the *firstname* element annotation record

837 is empty, via step 1408, the process returns to Figure 11 at step 1106 and repeats for the next token.

Notes Start Tag Name

5           The generic XML parser calls the current scanner to get the next token, the token for the *notes* start tag name 1620, via step 1106. The token scan is successful, via step 1108, and the generic XML parser 1004 determines that it is an element token, via step 1112, and inputs it into the XML schema validation module 1012 as a lexeme, via step 1114. The XML schema validation module 1012 then determines the lexeme type, via step 1116. Since the lexeme is a  
10 start tag name, process step 1118 is performed, as illustrated in Figure 12.

          Referring to Figure 12, the candidate sub-element map 815 of the employee element annotation record 816, i.e., the previous annotation record, points to the *notes* start tag name 838, which in turn points to the notes element annotation record 843, i.e., the current annotation record, via step 1202, and is pushed onto the stack, via step 1206. The contents of the stack now become as illustrated in Figure 15E. The XML schema validation module 1012 gets the *notes* start tag token (NS) 839 from the notes element annotation record 843 and inputs the token 839 into the element validation module 1014, via step 1208. The validation is successful, via step 1210. Since the attribute list 835 for the notes element annotation record 843 is empty and the content of the *notes* element is a simple type (stringTypeID), via step 1214, the current scanner  
15 ID is changed to stringTypeID, via step 1216. The process then returns to Figure 11 at step 1106  
20

and repeats for the next token. The StringType scanner 1020 scans “regular” as the content for the *notes* element. The current scanner is reset to the generic scanner.

#### Notes End Tag Name

5                   The generic XML parser calls the current scanner to get the next token, the token for the *notes* end tag name 1622, via step 1106. The token scan is successful, via step 1108, and the generic XML parser 1004 determines that it is an element token, via step 1112, and inputs it into the XML schema validation module 1012 as a lexeme, via step 1114. The XML schema validation module 1012 then determines the lexeme type, via step 1116. Since the lexeme is an 10 end tag name, process step 1122 is performed, as illustrated in Figure 14.

Referring to Figure 14, the *notes* element annotation record 843, i.e., the current annotation record, is removed from the stack, via step 1402. The contents of the stack now becomes as illustrated in Figure 15B. The XML schema validation module 1012 gets the *notes* end tag token (NE) 840 from the *notes* element annotation record 843 and inputs the token 840 into the element validation module 1014, via step 1404. The validation is successful, via step 15 1406. Since the attribute list 841 for the *notes* element annotation record 843 is empty, via step 1408, the process returns to Figure 11 at step 1106 and repeats for the next token.

#### Employee End Tag Name

20                  The generic XML parser calls the current scanner to get the next token, the token for the *employee* end tag name 1624, via step 1106. The token scan is successful, via step 1108, and the

generic XML parser 1004 determines that it is an element token, via step 1112, and inputs it into the XML schema validation module 1012 as a lexeme, via step 1114. The XML schema validation module 1012 then determines the lexeme type, via step 1116. Since the lexeme is an end tag name, process step 1122 is performed, as illustrated in Figure 14.

5 Referring to Figure 14, the employee element annotation record 816, i.e., the current annotation record, is removed from the stack, via step 1402. The contents of the stack now becomes as illustrated in Figure 15A. The XML schema validation module 1012 gets the *employee* end tag token (EE) 813 from the employee element annotation record 816 and inputs the token 813 into the element validation module 1014, via step 1404. The validation is  
10 successful, via step 1406. Since all of the attributes 817, 819, and 821 for the employee element annotation record 816 have been validated, via step 1408, the process returns to Figure 11 at step 1106 and repeats for the next token.

A similar validation process is performed for the remaining *employee* elements under the *personnel* element in the example XML document 1600.

#### Personnel End Tag Name

15 The generic XML parser calls the current scanner to get the next token, the token for the *personnel* end tag name 1626, via step 1106. The token scan is successful, via step 1108, and the generic XML parser 1004 determines that it is an element token, via step 1112, and inputs it into  
20 the XML schema validation module 1012 as a lexeme, via step 1114. The XML schema

validation module 1012 then determines the lexeme type, via step 1116. Since the lexeme is an end tag name, process step 1122 is performed, as illustrated in Figure 14.

Referring to Figure 14, the personnel element annotation record 809, i.e., the current annotation record, is removed from the stack, via step 1402. The XML schema validation 5 module 1012 gets the *personnel* end tag token (PE) 806 from the personnel element annotation record 809 and inputs the token 806 into the element validation module 1014, via step 1404. The validation is successful, via step 1406. Since the attribute list 807 for the personnel element annotation record 809 is empty, via step 1408, the process returns to Figure 11 at step 1106 and repeats for the next token. An EOF token is then returned. Since both the generic XML parser 10 1004 and the element module 1014 are in the “accept” mode, via step 1125, the process completes with the validation of the XML document 1600. A “valid” is thus returned, via step 1126.

## ADVANCED FEATURES

15 Although the preferred embodiment has been described above with a simple XML schema definition, some advanced features may be supported by the present invention as well. For example, a declaration of an element with derived types, or an abstract type and/or abstract elements and substitution groups may be supported.

20 Type derivation allows new types to be defined using base types. When declaring an element of a type with derived types, the declaration only references the base type, which can be abstract. If an element is declared as a type with derived types, then the *xsi:type* attribute must

be used to determine which derived type of the abstract type is used in an XML instance. In other words, the data type of an element cannot be determined solely by the element's name. However, which element is declared by the abstract type is known when the XML schema definition is compiled. Thus, this information is encoded into the global and local element maps by the abstract type field. If the runtime validation engine 104 finds that an element is declared using an abstract type at runtime, then it will defer the validation of this element's attributes until *xsi:type* is found.

For example, Figure 17 illustrates a portion of example derived type definitions for an XML schema definition. In this example, instead of a single type for the *employee* element, three types are defined: *employeeType*, *regularEmployee*, and *tempEmployee*. The declaration of *employee* element refers to the base type “*employeeType*”.

To support derived types in the XML schema validation in accordance with the present invention, the XML schema compilation 102 uses an OR (“|”) for the *employee* element in the CFG:

15           employee:    *employeeType*  
                  | *regularEmployee*  
                  | *tempEmployee*

The BNF for both *regularEmployee* and *tempEmployee* will be expanded to include all the elements they contain. For example, *regularEmployee* will be expanded to include all four elements: *lastname*, *firstname*, *notes*, and *salary*. According to the restrictions on XML schemas by the XML schema recommendation, there should not be any look ahead required on resulting CFGs for an XML schema to be legal. That means the resulting CFG should be within the

capability of an SLR or LALR(1) parser generator, or the XML schema definition is in error. The rest of the schema compilation will remain the same.

For the runtime validation engine 104, validation of the employee element may be accomplished in two alternative ways. In the first way, a backtracking mechanism is used.

5 When the runtime validation engine 104 encounters an element that can be any of the derived types, it scans the start tag for *xsi:type* attributes. Based on the value of these attributes, it generates the corresponding lexeme for the runtime schema validation parser 1006. It then will re-scan the start tag after the tag name. For example, if the following start tag is encountered:

```
<employee xsi:type = "regularEmployee">
```

10 then a regularEmployee lexeme is passed to the high level validation parser.

In the second way, the generic XML parser 1004 treats the entire start tag as a whole and the validation parser 1006 validates its contents. The generic XML parser 1004 knows the value of the *xsi:type* attributes and generates the right token for the runtime schema validation parser 1006. The runtime schema validation parser 1006 then validates the entire start tag.

15 For an abstract element and a substitution group of elements, a similar mechanism can be applied in schema compilation by the XML schema compilation 102. However, unlike with abstract types, the element's type can be known from the element name. Thus, there is no need to scan for the *xsi:type* attribute.

Although the present invention is described above in the context of XML schemas, one of ordinary skill in the art will understand that the validation process may also be used with other

tagged and/or markup languages that utilize schemas without departing from the spirit and scope of the present invention.

## CONCLUSION

5 An improved method and system for XML schema validation has been disclosed. The method and system compiles XML schema definitions into an Annotated Automaton Encoding (AAE) format, which are stored in a disk or database. Each XML schema definition is compiled once into the AAE format. When an XML document is validated, the XML document and the appropriate XML schema definition in AAE format are loaded into the runtime validation engine. The runtime validation engine comprises a generic XML parser and a runtime schema validation parser. The code for the runtime validation engine is fixed and does not vary depending on the XML schema definition. The generic XML parser performs a low level validation while the runtime schema validation parser performs a high level validation of the XML document against the XML schema definition in AAE format. The output of the runtime validation engine is a validation pass or fail.

10

15

Because the XML schema definitions in AAE format are compiled once and stored, rather than being compiled each time an XML document is validated, significant time is saved in performing the validation process. In addition, because the runtime validation engine code is fixed, rather than varying for each XML schema definition, space overhead is minimized, even if there are a large number of XML schema definitions. Also, flexibility in the validation process is provided without compromising performance.

20

Although the present invention has been described in accordance with the embodiments shown, one of ordinary skill in the art will readily recognize that there could be variations to the embodiments and those variations would be within the spirit and scope of the present invention. Accordingly, many modifications may be made by one of ordinary skill in the art without departing from the spirit and scope of the appended claims.

5

## CLAIMS

What is claimed is:

1. A method for markup language schema validation, comprising the steps of:
  - (a) loading a markup language document into a runtime validation engine, wherein the runtime validation engine comprises a mark up language schema validation parser;
  - (b) loading an annotated automaton encoding for a mark up language schema definition into the markup language schema validation parser; and
  - (c) validating the markup language document against the markup language schema definition by the markup language schema validation parser utilizing the annotated automaton encoding.

2. The method of claim 1, wherein the markup language comprises an Extensible Markup Language (XML).

15 3. The method of claim 1, wherein the annotated automaton encoding comprises at least one element node, wherein one or more attributes can be associated with the element node, and wherein one or more data type constraints can be associated with the element node or the attribute

20 4. The method of claim 1, wherein the annotated automaton encoding comprises at least one element annotation record for the at least one element node, wherein the at least one

element annotation record comprises one or more of a group consisting of:

a scanner ID for an element content and arguments;

a start tag token;

an end tag token;

5

an attribute list; and

a candidate sub-element map, capable of comprising a pointer to a sub-element name.

5. The method of claim 1, wherein prior to the loading step (a) comprises:

(a1) receiving an Extensible Markup Language (XML) schema definition;

10

(a2) generating an element structure hierarchy for the XML schema definition and

representing the hierarchy in an annotated tree;

(a3) encoding the annotated tree and generating the annotated automaton encoding;

(a4) serializing the annotated automaton encoding; and

(a5) storing the serialized annotated automaton encoding.

15

6. The method of claim 1, wherein the validating step (c) comprises:

(c1) obtaining at least one token for an Extensible Markup Language (XML)

document;

(c2) performing a low level validation of the at least one token by a generic XML

20

parser; and

(c3) performing a high level validation of the at least one token by a XML schema

validation parser if the token is an element token or an attribute token.

7. The method of claim 6, wherein the validating step (c) further comprises:

(c4) outputting a validation pass if the validations by the generic XML parser and the

5 XML schema validation parser are successful; and

(c5) outputting a validation fail if the validation by the generic XML parser or the

XML schema validation parser is not successful.

8. The method of claim 6, wherein the element token comprises one or more of a

10 group consisting of:

a start tag name; and

an end tag name.

9. The method of claim 6, wherein the attribute token comprises an attribute name.

15

10. The method of claim 6, wherein if the element token is a start tag name, then the

performing step (c3) comprises:

(c3i) finding a current annotation record based upon a previous annotation record and

the start tag name;

20 (c3ii) pushing the current annotation record onto a stack;

(c3iii) obtaining a start tag token for the start tag name from the current annotation

record;

- (c3iv) inputting the start tag token into an element validation module of the XML schema validation parser; and
- (c3v) determining if a validation of the start tag token is successful.

5

11. The method of claim 6, wherein if the attribute token is an attribute name, then the performing step (c3) comprises:

- (c3i) passing a current annotation record and the attribute name to an attribute validation module of the XML schema validation parser;
- (c3ii) searching an attribute list of the current annotation record for the attribute name, wherein the validation of the XML document fails if the attribute name is not found in the current annotation record;
- (c3iii) obtaining the attribute token if the attribute name is found in the current annotation record; and
- (c3iv) determining if the validation of the attribute token is successful.

15

12. The method of claim 6, wherein if the element token is an end tag name, then the performing step (c3) comprises:

20

- (c3i) removing a current annotation record from a stack;
- (c3ii) obtaining an end tag token from the current annotation record;
- (c3iii) inputting the end tag token into an element validation module of the XML schema

validation parser;

(c3iv) determining if the validation of the end tag token is successful; and

(c3v) determining if all attributes of the current annotation record has been validated or  
if the attribute list of the current annotation record is empty, wherein the validation of the end tag  
5 token is not successful if less than all of the attributes of the current annotation record has been  
validated and the attribute list of the current annotation record is not empty.

13. A system, comprising:

a markup language schema compilation for generating at least one annotated automaton

10 encoding for at least one markup language schema definition; and

a runtime validation engine comprising a runtime schema validation parser, wherein the  
runtime schema validation parser receives a markup language document and the at least one  
annotated automaton encoding as input, wherein the runtime schema validation parser validates  
the markup language document against the at least one markup language schema definition  
15 utilizing the at least one annotated automaton encoding.

14. The system of claim 13, wherein the markup language comprises an Extensible

Markup Language (XML).

20 15. The system of claim 13, wherein the annotated automaton encoding comprises at  
least one element node, wherein one or more attributes can be associated with for the element

node, and wherein one or more data type constraints can be associated with the element node or the attribute.

16. The system of claim 13, wherein the annotated automaton encoding comprises at least one element annotation record for the at least one element node, wherein the at least one element annotation record comprises one or more of a group consisting of:

- 5 a scanner ID for an element content and arguments;
- a start tag token;
- 10 an end tag token;
- an attribute list; and
- a candidate sub-element map, capable of comprising a pointer to a sub-element name.

17. The system of claim 13, wherein the markup language schema compilation comprises:

- 15 an Extensible Markup Language (XML) schema compiler front-end; and
- an XML schema compiler back-end.

18. The system of claim 17, wherein the XML schema compiler front-end receives the at least one XML schema definition, generates an element structure hierarchy for the XML schema definition, and represents the hierarchy in an annotated tree.

19. The system of claim 18, wherein the XML schema compiler back-end encodes the annotated tree, generates the at least one annotated automaton encoding from the encoded annotated tree, and serializes the at least one annotated automaton encoding.

5 20. The system of claim 13, further comprising:

a storage medium for storing the at least one annotated automaton encoding.

21. The system of claim 13, wherein the runtime validation engine further comprises:

a generic Extensible Markup Language (XML) parser, wherein the generic XML parser

10 performs a low level validation of an XML document, wherein the runtime schema validation parser performs a high level validation of the XML document.

22. The system of claim 13, wherein the schema runtime validation parser comprises:

an Extensible Markup Language (XML) schema loading module for loading the at least

15 one annotated automaton encoding; and

an XML schema validation module, comprising:

an element validation module for validating element tokens, and

an attribute validation module for validating attribute tokens.

20 23. The system of claim 22, wherein the element token comprises one or more of a group consisting of:

a start tag name; and

an end tag name.

24. The system of claim 22, wherein the attribute token comprises an attribute name.

5

25. The system of claim 13, wherein the runtime validation engine further comprises an Extensible Markup Language (XML) scanner pool, wherein the XML scanner pool comprises a generic scanner and at least one type specific scanner.

10

26. A computer readable medium with program instructions for a markup language schema validation, comprising the instructions for:

(a) loading a markup language document into a runtime validation engine, wherein the runtime validation engine comprises a markup language schema validation parser;

(b) loading an annotated automaton encoding for a markup language schema definition into the markup language schema validation parser; and

(c) validating the markup language document against the markup language schema definition by the markup language schema validation parser utilizing the annotated automaton encoding.

15

27. The medium of claim 26, wherein the markup language comprises an Extensible Markup Language (XML).

28. The medium of claim 26, wherein the annotated automaton encoding comprises at least one element node, wherein one or more attributes can be associated with the element node, and wherein one or more data type constraints can be associated with the element node or the attribute.

5

29. The medium of claim 26, wherein the annotated automaton encoding comprises at least one element annotation record for the at least one element node, wherein the at least one element annotation record comprises one or more of a group consisting of:

a scanner ID for an element content and arguments;

10

a start tag token;

an end tag token;

an attribute list; and

a candidate sub-element map, capable of comprising a pointer to a sub-element name.

15

30. The medium of claim 26, wherein prior to the loading instruction (a) comprises the instructions for:

(a1) receiving an Extensible Markup Language (XML) schema definition;

(a2) generating an element structure hierarchy for the XML schema definition and representing the hierarchy in an annotated tree;

20

(a3) encoding the annotated tree and generating the annotated automaton encoding;

(a4) serializing the annotated automaton encoding; and

(a5) storing the serialized annotated automaton encoding.

31. The medium of claim 26, wherein the validating instruction (c) comprises instructions for:

5 (c1) obtaining at least one token for an Extensible Markup Language (XML) document;

(c2) performing a low level validation of the at least one token by a generic XML parser; and

10 (c3) performing a high level validation of the at least one token by a XML schema validation parser if the token is an element token or an attribute token.

32. The medium of claim 31, wherein the validating instructions (c) further comprises instructions for:

15 (c4) outputting a validation pass if the validations by the generic XML parser and the XML schema validation parser are successful; and

(c5) outputting a validation fail if the validation by the generic XML parser or the XML schema validation parser is not successful.

33. The medium of claim 31, wherein the element token comprises one or more of a 20 group consisting of:

a start tag name; and

an end tag name.

34. The medium of claim 31, wherein the attribute token comprises an attribute name.

5 35. The medium of claim 31, wherein if the element token is a start tag name, then the performing instruction (c3) comprises instructions for:

(c3i) finding a current annotation record based upon a previous annotation record and the start tag name;

(c3ii) pushing the current annotation record onto a stack;

10 (c3iii) obtaining a start tag token for the start tag name from the current annotation record;

(c3iv) inputting the start tag token into an element validation module of the XML schema validation parser; and

(c3v) determining if a validation of the start tag token is successful.

15 36. The medium of claim 31, wherein if the attribute token is an attribute name, then the performing instruction (c3) comprises instructions for:

(c3i) passing a current annotation record and the attribute name to an attribute validation module of the XML schema validation parser;

20 (c3ii) searching an attribute list of the current annotation record for the attribute name, wherein the validation of the XML document fails if the attribute token is not found in the

current annotation record;

(c3iii) obtaining the attribute token if the attribute name is found in the current annotation record; and

(c3iv) determining if the validation of the attribute token is successful.

5

37. The medium of claim 31, wherein if the element token is an end tag name, then the performing instruction (c3) comprises instructions for:

(c3i) removing a current annotation record from a stack;

(c3ii) obtaining an end tag token from the current annotation record;

10 (c3iii) inputting the end tag token into an element validation module of the XML schema validation parser;

(c3iv) determining if the validation of the end tag token is successful; and

(c3v) determining if all attributes of the current annotation record has been validated or if the attribute list of the current annotation record is empty, wherein the validation of the end tag token is not successful if less than all of the attributes of the current annotation record has been validated and the attribute list of the current annotation record is not empty.

## ABSTRACT

A method and system for Extensible Markup Language (XML) schema validation, includes: loading an XML document into a runtime validation engine, where the runtime validation engine includes an XML schema validation parser; loading an annotated automaton encoding (AAE) for an XML schema definition into the XML schema validation parser; and validating the XML document against the XML schema definition by the XML schema validation parser utilizing the annotated automaton encoding. Each XML schema definition is compiled once into the AAE format, rather than being compiled each time an XML document is validated, and thus significant time is saved. The code for the runtime validation engine is fixed and does not vary depending on the XML schema definition, rather than varying for each XML schema definition, and thus space overhead is minimized. Flexibility in the validation process is provided without compromising performance.